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Foreword
by Edwin Poots, Minister of the Environment

I am pleased to be launching this public consultation on policy proposals for the reform of local government. The Executive’s decisions on the future shape of local government provide the foundation to develop strong, effective local government that will deliver improved outcomes for everyone in Northern Ireland.

Our vision is of a strong, dynamic local government that creates vibrant, healthy, prosperous, safe and sustainable communities that have the needs of all citizens at their core. Central to that vision is the provision of high-quality efficient services that respond to people’s needs and continuously improve over time.

To deliver on this vision and to realise the full potential of local government, councils will take on a significant range of functions from government departments and other bodies. In addition, councils will work within a new statutory governance framework and ethical standards regime, will have a new statute-based community planning process and will have available a power of well-being.

The purpose of this consultation is to look at the proposals for constructing the new governance framework to provide for efficient, fair and transparent decision-making in councils, within a regime to ensure that the highest standards of behaviour are maintained. It also looks at proposals for the framework for the new community planning process and the introduction of a new regime to support improvement in how councils deliver services to their ratepayers.

I encourage you to have your say by responding to these proposals.

Edwin Poots MLA
LOCAL GOVERNMENT REFORM
CONSULTATION ON POLICY PROPOSALS

PURPOSE OF THE CONSULTATION

1.1. The Department of the Environment is seeking your views on its policy proposals for the reform of local government. These policy proposals flow from the Executive’s decisions of 13 March 2008 on the future shape of local government.

1.2. A glossary of terms used in this consultation document is provided at Annex A.

BACKGROUND

2.1. Following the restoration of devolution, the Executive, at its meeting on 5 July 2007, agreed proposals for a review of the previous Administration’s decisions on the Review of Public Administration as they related to local government. This review was taken forward by an Executive Sub-Committee chaired by the then Minister of the Environment, Arlene Foster MLA. The Executive Sub-Committee took the review forward in three strands. The first developed a shared vision for local government. The second considered the number of councils and the third focused on the functions to transfer to local government. The review also considered, as cross-cutting issues, the decisions of the previous administration to develop a council-led community planning process and to introduce a power of well-being.
2.2. At the Executive meeting on 13 March 2008, the recommendations from the Sub-Committee were agreed. In summary, the Sub-Committee recommended:

- rationalising the current 26 district councils to create 11 new district councils;
- introducing new governance arrangements for councils to ensure the protection of the rights of all people and also provide for fair, transparent and efficient decision-making;
- developing a new council-led community planning process and introducing a power of well-being;
- transferring a range of functions from central to local government; and
- developing appropriate performance management systems for district councils.

2.3. In addition to the specific recommendations, the review signalled the desire of the Executive to work in partnership with local government to deliver the priorities and actions set out in the Executive’s Programme for Government and thereby improve outcomes for everyone.

2.4. While the Review of Public Administration was progressing, the Department of the Environment was developing proposals to modernise certain procedures in councils. One of these related to providing a new ethical standards regime for local government.

2.5. Following Minister Foster’s announcement of the Executive’s decisions on the future shape of local government, structures were put in place to support the development of policy and
implementation proposals, to give effect to those decisions. The top tier of the structure, and key driver of the programme, was the Strategic Leadership Board, chaired by the Minister of the Environment. The membership of this Board comprised elected representatives from the five main political parties, led by the Northern Ireland Local Government Association President (who was vice chair), senior advisers from local government and senior officials from those departments transferring functions to local government. The Strategic Leadership Board was supported by three policy development panels which also comprised representatives from the five main political parties and advisers from central and local government. The broad remit of each of the panels was:

- Panel A – Governance and Relationships;
- Panel B – Service Delivery; and
- Panel C – Structural Reform.

2.6. These panels, in the intervening period, developed policies for local government reform and this consultation document reflects their work.

2.7. Although it has not been possible to create the 11 new councils, or to transfer new functions to them in 2011, as had originally been planned, the Executive agreed, at its meeting of 18 November 2010, that in order to maintain momentum towards local government reform, the policy proposals contained in this document should be issued for public consultation.
POLICY PROPOSALS

Governance Arrangements

3.1. Good governance (i.e. management and control arrangements) lies at the heart of effective and efficient local government. It provides for best practice in policy development, decision-making and the delivery of quality services. Governance arrangements must ensure that district councils:

- operate to high standards;
- pursue equality and fairness within a framework of checks and balances; and
- conduct their business with openness and transparency.

3.2. The existing framework for the role of elected representatives in political decision-making in district councils is set out in the Local Government Act (Northern Ireland) 1972 (as amended). This framework is, therefore, almost 40 years old and needs to be updated.

3.3. The objectives underpinning the proposals for new political governance arrangements for district councils after reorganisation, are that they should provide for:

- efficient and effective decision-making;
- checks and balances to support equality and fair treatment;
- proportionality in allocating key positions;
- transparency and oversight of decision-making; and
- the effective and efficient creation of new local government.
3.4. Research has been carried out on local government decision-making in other regions. This has been used to inform the proposals set out in this consultation document. The research includes the executive arrangements introduced in England and Wales by the Local Government Act 2000, and the streamlined committee structure adopted by the majority of councils in Scotland. The local government sector and the main political parties have also had a significant involvement in the formulation of the proposals through their engagement in the Policy Development Panel on Governance and Relationships.

**Decision-making Structures**

3.5. At present, in most councils, an issue that requires a decision is delegated to a committee of the council which considers all the relevant material and ultimately makes a recommendation to the full council. The committee has no responsibility or authority to make the final decision (unless the council has specifically delegated a decision-making power to it). It is for the council to consider the recommendation from the committee when the minutes of that committee come before the council for ratification. The ratification of the minutes of the committee signifies the council’s endorsement of the recommendation. There is, therefore, a delay between a recommendation being made and a final decision being taken.

3.6. In considering proposals for the new arrangements, the Department takes the view that it is important to build in choice and permit flexibility in relation to the development of new decision-making structures by councils, rather than introducing a single structure for all councils. The Department proposes that a short list of decision-
making structures should be available to councils. A council would then be able to select the most appropriate structure. The decision-making structures which the Department proposes should be available to councils are:

- **the cabinet-style model**: executive responsibility for all operational decisions would be devolved from the full council to a relatively small committee of councillors;
- **the streamlined committee model**: a central policy committee and a limited number of other committees would be established with executive responsibility for specific decisions being devolved from the full council to those committees; and
- **the traditional committee structure**: individual committees would be established to consider specific issues and make recommendations on appropriate courses of action, for decision by the full council.

3.7. To provide a check and balance on the operation of the cabinet-style and streamlined committee models, which devolve decision-making from the full council, the Department proposes that structures should be supported by effective internal scrutiny arrangements. These arrangements will include the provision of a call-in procedure, which will allow decisions taken under devolved arrangements to be reviewed. It is also proposed that councils which choose to operate one of the systems of devolved decision-making should be required to establish a scrutiny committee. Flowing from the principle of checks and balances, this committee will be able to scrutinise the work of the cabinet-style model or streamlined committees and will consider the outcome of the review of any decision subject to a call-in, in defined circumstances.
Further details on the operation of the call-in procedure are outlined in paragraphs 3.18 to 3.23.

3.8. To provide for the eventuality that a district council cannot agree the adoption of a particular decision-making structure, the Department proposes that a default model should be provided for. The key elements of the default model will be:

- the operation of a committee-based system;
- the opportunity to devolve powers from the full council to a committee or committees;
- the establishment of a scrutiny committee, if powers are devolved from the full council to committees; and
- the establishment of a central policy committee.

3.9. For the operation of the devolved decision-making systems, the Department also proposes specifying a list of core issues on which decisions must be taken by the full council. This list will cover strategic issues that would have an impact across the whole local government district and include, for example, striking the rate, borrowing, governance arrangements, the corporate plan, the community plan, etc.

Question 1: Do you agree that a list of alternative decision-making structures should be available to councils?
Question 2:
Where decision-making is devolved to a committee of the council, do you agree that effective internal scrutiny arrangements should be required?

Question 3:
If a list of decision-making structures, as set out, is provided, do you support the proposal that a default option should be available?

Question 4:
Should a list of core issues, for which decisions must be taken by the full council, be specified? If so, what are your views on the issues that should be included in this list?

Sharing of Power and Responsibility

3.10. The members of a council, when it is established and on an annual basis after that, are required to select a councillor to act as chair of the council; they may also choose to select another councillor as vice-chair. They will also select individual members to take on the roles of chair and vice-chair of any committees that they create to have responsibility for specific issues. In addition, a number of public bodies appoint councillors to their management boards, and in so doing, seek nominations from the relevant councils.

3.11. To ensure that power and responsibilities are shared amongst elected members, it is proposed that a number of methods should be available to councils for the allocation of these key positions
within the council and for its representative positions on external bodies. The methods which the Department proposes should be available are:

- the D'Hondt and Saint-Lague divisor systems which use a formula for determining the order in which political parties will make their selection of the position that they wish to hold; and
- the Single Transferrable Voting system, where each councillor will vote for the individual that they wish to hold a position.

3.12. The D'Hondt system will be the default approach if the political parties on a district council fail to agree on the system to be adopted. The Department proposes to set out the precise method of application of each the systems that will be available to ensure consistency of approach across councils.

| Question 5: |
| Do you support the proposal that a limited number of methods for ensuring the sharing of positions on a council, its committees, and external appointments should be made available? Are the methods identified appropriate? |
| Question 6: |
| Should the D’Hondt system be specified as the default model, for use in the absence of agreement? |
Question 7:
Do you support the proposal that the Department specifies the method for applying each of the available systems?

3.13. The key positions to which the process for the sharing of power and responsibility will apply will include:

- mayor / council chair;
- deputy mayor / council vice-chair;
- committee chairs;
- committee vice-chairs; and
- positions on a cabinet-style executive (where that model of decision-making is adopted).

3.14. The Department does not propose to specify that the cabinet-style model should require the inclusion of elected members from each of the political parties represented on the council. It will be a matter for a political party to determine whether it wishes to participate in such a committee or select other positions of responsibility on the council.

3.15. The use of divisor methods, such as D'Hondt and Saint-Lague, for allocating positions would potentially favour bigger political parties within a council, if selection is applied in each year of the council. To minimise this potential, the Department proposes that the agreed method will be applied to all positions of responsibility within a council (including nominations to external bodies) over its full four-year term. A list of the key positions covering each year for the full council term of office will be used to allocate all positions whenever the council is first established.
3.16. The order in which positions should be allocated will not be specified, but rather the selection of a position and the year of appointment will be matters for each political party in the council to determine, against its own priorities. The allocation of additional positions, where a new committee is established or a new appointment to an external body is identified following the initial allocation of positions, will use the agreed approach starting from the point at which the last position was allocated.

Question 8:
Do you agree that the Department should specify the list of positions that would be allocated using these methods?

3.17. It will be important to ensure that the membership of council committees reflects the representation of the various political parties on the council. The Department proposes that councils should be given a choice of two methods to determine the number of positions to be allocated to each party. These are the Quota Greatest Remainder and Droop Quota methods which use slightly different formulae for calculating the basis for the sharing of positions across the political parties.

Question 9:
What are your views on the proposal for ensuring proportionality in the membership of council committees? Are the methods to be used appropriate?
Checks and Balances

3.18. In order to ensure the fair treatment and representation of everyone served by a council, the Department considers that there is a need for a system that provides checks and balances in relation to the council decision-making processes.

3.19. The Department, therefore, proposes that a call-in procedure should be introduced. This would apply to decisions taken but not yet implemented under devolved arrangements and decisions waiting to be endorsed by a council through the ratification of minutes from a committee. The call-in procedure would be used in the following circumstances:

- where procedures used in reaching a decision are questioned, i.e. to ensure that all the established steps were followed and account was taken of council policies; and
- where there is an issue in relation to the protection of political minorities in the council district.

3.20. The call-in procedure would operate in a similar manner to the ‘petition of concern’ procedure in the Assembly, in other words, a number of councillors would be able to join together to request that a specific decision is reviewed. It is proposed that the trigger for a call-in will be set at 15% of the total council membership, with the resultant figure always rounded up to the nearest whole number. For example, in a council with 40 members, a call-in would require the support of 6 councillors.
3.21. It is further proposed that, where a decision is the subject of call-in on procedural grounds (see paragraph 3.19), the outcome of the subsequent investigation will be considered, as appropriate, by either:
- the scrutiny committee (where one has been established because the council has opted to devolve a range of decisions);
- or
- the full council.

3.22. A scrutiny committee would have no authority to overturn a decision. It would only be able to confirm the original decision or refer it back to the committee that made the decision for further consideration. Council committees would, however, be required to have regard to any report from a scrutiny committee. In cases where a council has opted to retain the traditional committee system, any decision that is called in on procedural grounds would be considered by the full council.

3.23. Where the call-in procedure is used in seeking to protect political minorities from adverse impact in the council area (see paragraph 3.19), the Department proposes that a process to assess if the call-in is valid would be put in place. This process would be external to the council to avoid the potential for disputes between councillors. It is further proposed that all decisions subject to call-in on this basis would be referred to the full council for a final decision.

3.24. As a further safeguard to council decision-making, the Department also proposes to introduce qualified majority voting (or weighted
majority voting) for specified strategic decisions to be made by
district councils. Examples of these decisions would include:

- decision-making structures;
- major capital projects; and
- programmes that impact across a number of wards.

The use of qualified majority voting would also be available to
councils for decisions that had been the subject of a legitimate call-
in.

3.25. Decisions relating to the statutory duties of a council, e.g. striking
the rate, and those of a quasi-judicial nature, e.g. making bye-laws,
will be excluded from qualified majority voting. For the operation of
qualified majority voting, it is proposed that a straightforward
threshold, set at 80% of council members present and voting, will
apply, rather than a system of cross-community voting (such as the
one operated by the Northern Ireland Assembly).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 10:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Should a call-in procedure be introduced to provide a check and balance for council-decision making?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 11:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you support the proposal for such a call-in to be available in the two circumstances outlined, and for how it would operate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 12:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree that 15% of council membership should be the trigger for a call-in?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 13:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Should the use of qualified majority voting be introduced to provide safeguards in the council's decision-making processes?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 14:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree that 80% of council membership should be the threshold for qualified majority voting?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Transparency

3.26. Section 23 of the Local Government Act (Northern Ireland) 1972 provides that, subject to certain conditions, every meeting of a council shall be open to the public. To ensure the continued transparency of the decision-making process and take account of the proposed new structures, these provisions will be updated to provide for improved access to council meetings and documents.

3.27. In addition to updating the provisions in relation to the transparency of the decision-making process, the Department proposes that a council will be required to prepare and publish a constitution that sets out details of how it operates. This constitution would provide details of:

- the council’s decision-making structures and how decisions are reached;
• the council’s standing orders, the production of which will be mandatory;
• the scheme of delegation in operation for decision-making by officers;
and will provide links to the Corporate and Business Plan.

**Question 15:**
What are your views on the proposed steps to enhance transparency and openness in the operation of a council and its decision-making?

**Ethical Standards**

**Background**

4.1. Northern Ireland is the only jurisdiction in the United Kingdom which does not have a mandatory code of conduct for district councillors. The current Northern Ireland Code of Local Government Conduct was introduced in April 2003 and provides guidance to councillors on the standards of conduct expected of them in carrying out their official duties and in maintaining working relationships with fellow councillors and council employees. It is a guidance document only and there are no formal mechanisms for dealing with cases where the Code might not have been adhered to.

4.2. The administrations in England, Wales and Scotland have each developed and introduced ethical standards frameworks for local
government to encourage appropriate levels of conduct and to build public confidence. These frameworks include mandatory codes of conduct for elected representatives of local authorities, with associated processes for investigating and adjudicating on alleged breaches of the codes.

4.3. The Committee on Standards in Public Life’s Tenth Report entitled “Getting the Balance Right - Implementing Standards in Public Life” (published in January 2005) included two recommendations in relation to local government in Northern Ireland which were agreed by the United Kingdom Government, namely:

- **Recommendation 15**: Following the Review of Public Administration, and upon the restoration of the Assembly in Northern Ireland, a Statutory Code of Conduct for Councillors should be introduced with a proportionate and locally-based framework for enforcement, drawing upon experience of other parts of the United Kingdom; and

- **Recommendation 29**: The three principal regulators (the Standards Board for England, the Local Government Ombudsman for Wales, and the Standards Commission for Scotland) should put in place formal arrangements for the sharing of experiences and best practice. This should be extended to include the body with designated responsibility for enforcement of a new statutory framework in Northern Ireland.

4.4. In 2005, a Code of Conduct Working Group (CCWG) was set up by the Department to review the current Code of Conduct and to
consider options for monitoring the application of the Code, including investigation, enforcement and appeals procedures.

4.5. The CCWG compared the current ethical standards arrangements for local government in Northern Ireland with those in England, Scotland, Wales and Ireland and also with the Northern Ireland Assembly.

4.6. As ethical standards and the Code of Conduct are governance issues, the policy development and recommendations of the CCWG were subsequently presented to the policy development panel on governance and relationships for consideration.

4.7. The Department proposes to introduce a new ethical standards regime for local government which would include the introduction of a mandatory Code of Conduct for councillors with supporting mechanisms for investigation, adjudication and appeals. This would initially involve all complaints regarding breaches of ethical standards in district councils being referred to the Northern Ireland Commissioner for Complaints (the Commissioner) to decide whether a case should be referred to the relevant council for local resolution or whether the matter should be retained for investigation by the Commissioner's Office.

**Question 16:**
Do you agree that a statutory ethical standards framework should be introduced for members of district councils in Northern Ireland?
Code of Conduct

4.8. The Department proposes to introduce a mandatory Code of Conduct to replace the current Northern Ireland Code of Local Government Conduct. The Code will set out the conduct which is expected of all councillors and co-opted members in Northern Ireland.

4.9. The Department proposes to specify in the Code the general principles which will provide a guide for councillors’ behaviour in the execution of their duties and which will underpin the mandatory Code. It is intended that the principles to be specified in the Code will be:

- the seven principles of public life (the Nolan Principles) which are a recognised set of principles used across the public sector i.e. selflessness, integrity, objectivity, accountability, openness, honesty and leadership; and
- four additional principles which were adopted by the Northern Ireland Assembly on 12 October 2009, i.e. respect, equality, good working relationships and promoting good relations.

Question 17:
Do you agree that the principles mentioned above should apply to councillors and co-opted members?
4.10. It is proposed that the Department should be required, before issuing the Code of Conduct, to consult with councils and bodies representative of councils, councillors and council officers.

4.11. In addition, it is proposed that, before taking up office, a councillor should be required to serve on the clerk of the council a declaration of acceptance of office which would include an undertaking that the councillor will observe the Code.

4.12. It is proposed that the Code should include sections dealing with:

- the key principles of the Code of Conduct and general obligations expected;
- interests – personal, financial and prejudicial interests;
- registration of interests, gifts and hospitality;
- declaration of relevant interests and dispensations;
- lobbying and access to councillors;
- a protocol for relations between councillors and officers of councils; and
- dealing with planning applications (after land-use planning has been transferred to local government).

Question 18:
Do you agree that a mandatory Code of Conduct should be introduced and that all council members should give a written undertaking to comply with it before accepting office?
Complaints

4.13. It will be the responsibility of councillors to make sure that they are familiar with the provisions in the Code and that they comply with those provisions.

4.14. The Department proposes that all complaints regarding alleged breaches in ethical standards in district councils would initially go to the Commissioner for consideration. An advantage of placing this role with the Commissioner is that the Commissioner’s Office is already well established and trusted and this is likely to increase public confidence in the new system.

4.15. A complaint would be assessed to determine whether there appeared to be any case to answer or whether it appeared to be a minor or a serious breach in standards. This would then determine how the subsequent investigation and adjudication of the case would be taken forward i.e. either referred to the relevant council for local resolution (which should happen in the majority of cases) or retained by the Commissioner's Office (i.e. serious, complex or high profile cases).

Question 19:
Do you agree that all written complaints concerning alleged breaches of the Code should be sent in the first instance to the Commissioner for Complaints to determine how they should be investigated?
Investigation and Adjudication

4.16. As indicated above, the Department proposes that the duties of the Commissioner should be extended in relation to the new ethical standards framework and that the Commissioner should receive all complaints of alleged breaches of the Code. We would propose that the Commissioner's Office should investigate more serious, complex or high profile cases and should report and make recommendations on the outcome of the investigation. Where a breach of the Code has occurred, the Commissioner would also adjudicate on what sanction is to be taken against the councillor or co-opted member. The Commissioner would also facilitate the hearing of any appeals relating to cases that have been adjudicated on by a council standards committee (see paragraph 4.24).

Question 21:
Do you agree that the Commissioner for Complaints should only deal with those cases that are deemed to be serious or high profile?
4.17. The Department proposes that each council should have an independent monitoring officer and a standards committee to deal with complaints referred to it by the Commissioner. Where a complaint appears to be a less serious breach of the Code or where there may be no case to answer, it is intended that the Commissioner would refer the matter to the relevant council’s standards committee for local resolution.

4.18. If a case is referred to a council for local resolution, the independent monitoring officer would investigate and report to the standards committee to assess whether a breach had occurred and, if necessary, to adjudicate on the matter.

4.19. The Department proposes that an independent monitoring officer should be appointed or assigned for each council. The main roles of an independent monitoring officer would include:

- providing advice and guidance on the ethical standards framework and Code of Conduct within the council, including establishing contact with other monitoring officers within Northern Ireland and the Great Britain to share and develop best practice;
• carrying out investigations of relevant complaints and supporting and assisting the council’s standards committee in the processing of cases and maintaining appropriate records; and
• ensuring that the council establishes and maintains a register of members’ interests and a register of gifts and hospitality and has procedures for dealing with declarations of interest.

4.20. The Department proposes to specify in legislation the way in which independent monitoring officers should deal with matters referred to them.

4.21. It will be the duty of each council to establish a standards committee to promote and maintain high ethical standards. The Department proposes that the functions, procedures and membership of standards committees should be specified in legislation. The Department further proposes that:

• a standards committee’s role in considering the reports and recommendations of independent monitoring officer;
• the actions which the committee may take against any councillor who is subject to such a report or recommendation; and
• the committee’s adjudication function and the penalties which it can hand out; should also be provided for in legislation.
4.22. In order to gain public confidence in the system and to promote openness and fairness, it is proposed that standards committees should include independent members.

**Question 23:**
Do you agree that each council should be required to establish a standards committee?

If so, do you agree that each Standards Committee should include independent members and that an independent member should chair the committee?

4.23. It is proposed that, when a case is referred by the Commissioner to a standards committee, it will be for the committee to decide if the matter should be investigated by the independent monitoring officer and, if so, the monitoring officer will submit a report on his/her findings to the standards committee for consideration. The standards committee will decide whether any sanction should be taken against the council member.
Question 24:
Do you agree that complaints concerning less serious breaches of the Code should be dealt with by the relevant council’s standards committee;

Do you agree that the council’s independent monitoring officer should undertake any necessary investigation;

Do you agree that the standards committee will consider all cases on the basis of the monitoring officer’s reports and on the evidence presented; and

Do you agree that the council’s standards committee should decide what sanctions, if any, should be taken against the members concerned?

Question 25:
Do you agree that monitoring officers should be independent of councils or do you think that they should be council officers who, in addition to investigating less serious complaints, might be better placed to support the development of an ethical culture within councils?

Do you agree that an independent monitoring officer should be appointed to each council?

If not, what alternative would you propose?

4.24. The Department proposes that, where a councillor is found to be in breach of the Code, either the Commissioner or the council’s
standards committee (depending on how serious the complaint is) may impose a sanction. The Department further proposes that a councillor may appeal:

- to the Commissioner concerning a decision taken by the standards committees; and
- through the Court system concerning a decision taken by the Commissioner.

Question 26:
Do you agree that sanctions should be available to standards committees and the Commissioner for Complaints where breaches of the Code have occurred?

Question 27:
Do you agree that members should have a right of appeal to the Commissioner for Complaints concerning decisions taken by standards committees and to the Court system concerning decisions taken by the Commissioner for Complaints?

Service Delivery & Performance Improvement

5.1. The Local Government (Best Value) Act (Northern Ireland) 2002, (the 2002 Act) placed a duty on councils for continuous improvement in the delivery of their services. The overriding purpose of best value is to establish a culture of good management for the delivery of efficient, effective and economical services that meet users’ needs. The principle of continuous improvement is the
ongoing effort to seek incremental improvements in the delivery of these services over time.

5.2. The 2002 Act, however, provides no specific mechanisms to enable councils to demonstrate their accountability to ratepayers or Ministers for the delivery of their services or improvements to those services. The reorganisation of local government, the transfer of functions from departments to councils, and the introduction of community planning and the power of well-being provide an appropriate opportunity to strengthen the framework for councils’ service delivery and performance improvement.

5.3. Performance management (and within it, best value), community planning and the power of well-being are closely linked. Local government should view them as such in seeking to plan and deliver quality services that are responsive to the needs of the people who use them. For example, use of the new flexibilities and freedoms offered by the power of well-being (see paragraphs 7.1 – 7.3) should be influenced significantly by issues identified through the community planning process. Similarly, best value considerations should influence a council’s choices in using the power of well-being and how it engages with its community planning partners.

5.4. The Department proposes that a new service delivery and performance improvement framework should be introduced for local government. This would include a broader duty in relation to securing best value and continuous improvement. This would be supported by a requirement to publish an annual improvement plan to provide accountability to ratepayers and Ministers. The new
framework would also enable Ministers, through the Department of the Environment, to:

- issue guidance on the delivery of continuous improvement;
- set performance indicators and standards for the delivery of services; and
- intervene in a council's delivery of a service if its performance falls below acceptable standards.

Revised Best Value Duty

5.5. The Department proposes that the current best value provisions should be replaced and that:

- councils should be required to secure best value, which will be described in terms of the continuous improvement of the council's performance of its functions;
- councils, in securing best value, should be expected to maintain an appropriate balance between the quality of performance of their functions, the cost of performing those functions, and the cost to persons of any services provided on a wholly or partly rechargeable basis;
- in maintaining that balance, councils should be required to have regard to efficiency, effectiveness, economy and equity;
- councils should be required to discharge their duties in a way which contributes to the achievement of sustainable development; and
- councils should have regard to outcomes when measuring improvement in their performance.
Question 28:  
Do you agree that a newly defined best value (continuous improvement) duty should be placed on councils?

Best Value Guidance

5.6. It will be important to ensure a consistent approach to service delivery and performance improvement across all councils. For that reason, the Department would propose to issue guidance to underpin the best value duty and the delivery of continuous improvement and to consult with councils and other representative organisations of local government in the preparation of the guidance. It is anticipated that the guidance should cover:

- how to make best value arrangements;
- what is to be included in best value arrangements; and
- how to implement the best value duty.

5.7. It is proposed that councils should be required to have regard to any departmental guidance in the performance of its duties about best value. Councils would also be expected to have regard to other general guidance on arrangements for securing best value (unless it conflicts with the guidance produced by the Department).

Question 29:  
Should the Department be able to issue guidance in relation to best value?
Question 30:
Should councils be required to have regard to any guidance issued?

Performance Indicators and Standards

5.8. It is proposed that departments should be able to specify performance indicators for the delivery of council functions. These would allow the measurement of councils’ performance in exercising the functions. The performance standards which councils will be expected to meet in relation to those performance indicators would also be specified. We propose that departments should take account of the different circumstances that exist between councils when setting performance indicators and standards.

5.9. The aim in specifying performance indicators and standards would be to promote efficiency, effectiveness and economy in the way that councils carry out their functions.

Question 31:
Do you agree that the Department should be able to specify performance indicators for the delivery of council functions?

Public Performance Reporting: A Corporate and Improvement Plan

5.10. The Department proposes to place a requirement on councils to produce a corporate plan, which would include an improvement plan
for service delivery and performance improvement. Councils will be required to publish their performance indicators in this plan. This would enhance local accountability and enable comparisons to be made between councils.

5.11. It is proposed that the Department should be able to specify the elements that must be included within a corporate plan and the associated improvement plan, and to issue guidance on the form and content of plans, including the manner in which they should be published.

5.12. This should ensure that a council’s corporate and improvement plans achieve three primary aims:

- to summarise how successful the council was in meeting its objectives and performance targets for the previous year;
- to inform local people of the council’s performance targets for the following year and future years; and
- to set out the council’s progress in meeting any longer term targets and, where it is not on course to meet them, to give an outline of what action it is taking to remedy the situation.

The information to be reported in the plan would also enable comparisons to be made between councils.

Question 32:
Do you agree with the proposals for the public reporting of a council’s performance improvement?
A Statutory Audit of the Corporate and Improvement Plan

5.13. The Department proposes that the role of the local government auditor should be extended to include the audit of a council’s corporate and improvement plan. This would ensure that the plan has been prepared in compliance with any future legislative framework and any supporting guidance issued by the Department. This would provide Ministers and ratepayers with independent assurance that a council’s improvement plan stands up to scrutiny and gives independent verification of any improvements.

5.14. We would propose that, in scrutinising a council’s corporate and improvement plan, the auditor should:

- certify that the plan has been audited;
- consider the extent to which the plan meets specified requirements;
- recommend any remedial action that the auditor judges necessary for a council to take where its plan does not comply with the legislative requirements or guidance; and
- in cases where the auditor considers there to be serious deficiencies and failures in a plan, recommend the appropriate follow-up action. This may take the form of an examination by the auditor or, in the most serious cases, by the relevant government department (depending on the functions concerned) under its proposed powers of intervention.

5.15. The auditor should also be required to report publicly on the results of his assessment. In turn, when a council receives an auditor’s
report on its corporate and improvement plan it will be required to publish the auditor’s report.

5.16. The Department also proposes that the local government auditor should be able to carry out examinations aimed at assessing compliance with the requirements of the best value legal framework. To enable the auditor to undertake these examinations, we propose that:

- the relevant government department should have the power to direct the local government auditor to carry out an examination of a council in respect of its delivery of functions transferred by that department;
- the auditor should have powers of access to documents and information and to have reasonable rights of access to premises; and
- a report outlining the auditor’s findings would be published.

**Question 33:**
Should the local government auditor have a role in providing external assurance in relation to a council’s improvement plan?

**Question 34:**
Is the proposed role for the local government auditor as comprehensive as might be required?
A Power of Intervention/Enforcement

5.17. We recognise that there may be occasions, albeit limited, when an inspection of a council makes information available to Ministers which gives them cause for concern about whether a council is discharging its responsibilities as required.

5.18. To provide for such occasions, the Department proposes that Ministers should be allowed to intervene if a council fails in delivering a particular service or services. The powers, which would be exercised by Ministers through their departments, would be wide ranging. These failures in service delivery would include matters which are of a procedural nature, and others which require more substantive action. This action might be either:

- on the part of the council concerned (for example, it might be required to arrange for another organisation to carry out a function on its behalf); or
- on the part of a department (which might, in extreme cases of failure, intervene to exercise a function of the council itself or through a nominee).

5.19. Where a department intends to take action against a council, it would normally be required to allow the relevant council to make representations both about the recommendation itself and the remedial action that is proposed. In exceptional cases, where a department judges the failure to be so serious or the immediate risk to sections of the community to be so great, it would have the power to give a direction to the council without allowing time for representations. If a department chooses to exercise this power, it would be obliged to inform both the council concerned, and any
appropriate representative body, of the direction and the reason why it was given, without recourse to the normal procedure for representations.

5.20. In cases where a department intervenes directly in a council, and in doing so assumes responsibility for delivering a function, a regulatory power would be made available to the department. This power could be used to make alternative provision for the delivery of the service. A department would then be able to make necessary alternative arrangements where it intervenes in a function which already provides recourse to that department, either through appeal or otherwise.

Question 35:
Do you agree that Ministers should be able to intervene if a council is failing to deliver services?

Community Planning

6.1. A key theme underpinning the reform of local government is the Executive’s vision of a strong, dynamic local government creating communities that are vibrant, healthy, prosperous, safe, sustainable and which have the needs of all people at their core. Central to this vision is the provision of high quality, efficient services that respond to the needs of people and continuously improve over time.

6.2. The introduction of an effective community planning process, led and facilitated by councils, is seen as critical to the delivery of this
overarching objective. Community planning would enable councils to work in partnership with a full range of other sectors, for example public bodies, businesses, and community and voluntary organisations. This would facilitate linking the delivery of services in their districts to provide a joined-up approach to meeting the needs and aspirations of local communities. The Department proposes that the community planning process to be introduced would not be overly prescriptive, to take account of the range of situations that exist across the region and within individual districts. This would provide individual councils with the flexibility to act at a local level to best meet local needs.

6.3. To ensure that councils are placed firmly at the hub of the process, the Department proposes that they should be required to make arrangements for community planning. To do this, councils would be expected to consult and co-operate with all bodies responsible for providing public services in the district. They would also be expected to engage with the community and other bodies and individuals in planning the provision of public services. Councils would also be required to ensure that their community plans are reviewed and revised, as appropriate, to meet changing circumstances and needs in their districts.

Question 36:
Do you agree that councils should lead and facilitate community planning and that a requirement should be placed on them to do so?
6.4. The effectiveness of the community planning process and the delivery of improved outcomes will, to a very large extent, be grounded in the establishment and maintenance of effective relationships between councils, departments and other public sector organisations. This is the experience in Scotland, England and Wales, where community planning has been in place for a number of years.

6.5. Whilst effective working relationships already exist with public bodies, businesses and community and voluntary organisations in some districts, the Department proposes that these bodies/organisations should be required to support and participate in the process. It is proposed that government departments should be required to promote the use of community planning and have regard to community plans. Other identified public bodies would be required to participate in and assist community planning.

| Question 37: |
| What are your views on departments and statutory bodies being required to participate in and support community planning? |

6.6. The Department proposes that district councils should be required to publish reports on community planning. These reports would include information about improvements in public services. The form, content and frequency of such community planning reports would be specified by the Department.
Question 38:
Should councils be required to publish community plans for their districts, and to review these as necessary?

6.7. Experience in the other jurisdictions would indicate that delivering on the potential of community planning will present a range of challenges for district councils and the Department would therefore propose to issue detailed guidance to support the effective operation of the flexible approach that is being put forward.

Question 39:
Do you agree that the Department should be able to issue guidance to support community planning, and in relation to the format and content of a council’s community plan?

Power of Well-Being

7.1. The transfer of responsibility for the delivery of a range of new functions, and the introduction of the community planning process will enable councils to begin addressing the needs and aspirations of local communities. However, councils can only do what legislation enables them to do. In responding to issues that may be identified through community planning, a council may wish to take an action that is not specifically provided for in legislation. In order
to provide for such an eventuality, the Department proposes that
councils should have a new power of well-being.

7.2. The proposed new power would enable councils to take any action
not already the responsibility of another agency (unless that agency
has given explicit agreement) to promote or improve the well-being
of their district. It would not, however, be an unrestricted power for
councils. A council would not be able to use the power of well-being
to do anything that it is unable to do because of any other legal
prohibition, restriction or limitation on their powers.

7.3. As with the proposals for the introduction of community planning,
the Department proposes to issue detailed guidance in support of
the exercise of the power of well-being which councils would be
required to have regard to.

Question 40:
Do you agree that a power of well-being should be introduced
for councils, and that the Department should be able to issue
guidance to support its operation?

A Partnership Panel

8.1. The Executive’s vision for the future delivery of its Programme for
Government recognises the need for a partnership approach
between departments and local government if the desired outcomes
for everyone are to be delivered. The proposed community
planning powers will require departments and agencies to work with
councils in developing and delivering on the themes and targets identified in community plans. A partnership approach to the delivery of the Executive’s Programme for Government will also require a mechanism for government departments to agree and monitor a number of regionally determined performance indicators for service delivery by district councils.

8.2. The existing relationships between departments and their agencies and the local government sector, whether at a representative level through the Northern Ireland Local Government Association or at a local level with individual councils, are informal, ad hoc and inconsistent. They do not provide a firm foundation for a more strategic approach to the delivery of joined-up services.

8.3. Against this background, the Department proposes that a Partnership Panel for Northern Ireland should be established. This panel would formalise the relationships between the Executive and district councils and provide a forum for the collective consideration of strategic issues. The Partnership Panel would consist of Northern Ireland Ministers (especially where their departments have a significant policy relationship with local government) and representatives from councils.

8.4. The proposed Partnership Panel would be purely advisory, recognising the separate and distinct legal authority of departments and local government. It would not hamper the discretion of the Executive or of an individual Minister, or the operational discretion of local government. Accordingly, the Department proposes that the panel’s remit would be to:
• give advice to Ministers about matters affecting the exercise of any of their department’s functions;
• make representations to Ministers about any matters affecting, or of concern to, those involved in local government in Northern Ireland; and
• give advice to those involved in local government in Northern Ireland.

Question 41:
Should a Partnership Panel be established to formalise relations between central and local government?

Question 42:
What are your views on the proposed remit of the Panel?

Supervision of Councils

9.1. Sections 127-129 of the Local Government Act (Northern Ireland) 1972 contain powers to enable the Department to supervise how councils exercise their functions. Those powers, which have rarely been used, would enable the Department to:
• require a council to make reports and give information about the exercise of its functions to the Department;
• cause local or other inquiries to be held or investigations to be made in connection with any matters relating to the functions of a council; and
• take action where a council has failed to discharge any of its functions (including empowering a departmental official to exercise the function in question).

9.2. Responsibility for policy and legislation in relation to local government functions falls to a number of Northern Ireland departments. Consequently, it is proposed that the above powers should be extended so that the department concerned (rather than the Department of the Environment) may exercise these powers where necessary. This will be particularly important when functions over which particular departments have policy responsibility are transferred to local government.

Question 43:
Do you agree that the supervision powers currently available to the Department of the Environment should be made available to all departments?

The Reorganisation of District Councils

Creation of new councils and dissolution of existing councils

10.1. The Local Government (Boundaries) Act (Northern Ireland) 2008 sets out the broad boundaries of the new local government districts and provided for the appointment of a Local Government Boundaries Commissioner to review and make recommendations on the boundaries of the new local government districts and their
constituent wards. The Local Government Boundaries Commissioner presented his report to the Department of the Environment on 22 June 2009. When Executive decisions have been made about the timetable for local government reorganisation, the Department will bring forward legislation to the Assembly to give effect to the Boundaries Commissioner’s recommendation, with or without modification. This legislation will specify the boundaries of the new local government districts and wards.

10.2. Legislation will then be required to:

- abolish the current local government districts;
- dissolve the current district councils; and
- establish a council for each of the new local government districts.

10.3. Section 2 of the Local Government Act (Northern Ireland) 1972 enables a district council to submit a petition to the Secretary of State to request the granting of a charter designating the district of the council as a borough. Also, section 132 of the 1972 Act made provision at the time of the previous local government reorganisation in 1973 for a new council, in certain circumstances, to keep the borough status of an old council (i.e. one which was to join with other councils to form the new council). It is proposed that similar provisions to those in section 132 should be made to allow a successor council to keep the borough or city status of an existing council which is to join with another council (or other councils) to form the new council.
Transfers of staff, assets & liabilities

10.4. The proposed reduction in the number of councils from 26 to 11 will affect the employment position of some 9,900 local government staff, and require the transfer of the ownership of assets and liabilities from the current district councils to the new district councils. Around 1,000 Northern Ireland Civil Service staff will also be affected by the transfer of central government functions to local government.

10.5. The Department proposes that each department transferring functions to local government will be responsible for effecting this transfer through either legislative provisions or an appropriate transfer agreement. To ensure consistency in the transfer of all affected staff, assets and liabilities to local government the department concerned would be able to make schemes for the transfer of all affected staff, assets and liabilities to the new council structure from:

- the Northern Ireland Civil Service;
- the existing 26 councils and associated bodies; and
- other bodies as applicable (for example, the Northern Ireland Housing Executive).

10.6. Such a scheme (or schemes) may contain details concerning interests in and rights over property, employment contracts and other incidental matters. The Department proposes that the Libraries Act (Northern Ireland) 2008, which provided for the transfer of staff, property rights and liabilities of the affected bodies
to the Northern Ireland Library Authority, should be used as a model for the local government transfer schemes.

10.7. In particular, staff transfer schemes would make provision for:

- identifying the transferring employees (whether by name or otherwise);
- the date of transfer, post and location;
- contractual terms and conditions to be protected;
- securing pension protection for transferring employees;
- dispute resolution; and
- the payment of compensation to any transferring employee who suffers a loss or detriment in consequence of the scheme.

Staff Transfer Schemes

10.8. Further consideration needs to be given to where the responsibility for making a staff transfer scheme might lie. To ensure a consistent approach in the transfers, the Department proposes that model transfer schemes are prepared. These model schemes will follow the precedent already established in previous RPA-related staff transfers and cover issues including the statutory protection of rights under the Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations (TUPE) and pension rights. Where necessary, the schemes could be tailored, through schedules to the scheme, to meet the differing needs across departments and local government. Model schemes would be subject to consultation with transferring departments, existing councils, representatives of receiving councils and any other appropriate body.
Assets and Liabilities Transfer Schemes

10.9. The dissolution of the current 26 councils, and the creation of the proposed 11 new councils, will require the transfer of legal title to assets and the legal responsibility for any liabilities from a current council to its successor council. The Department proposes that transfer schemes, in line with previous RPA-related transfers, would be put in place.

10.10. Legal title for any assets and legal responsibility for any liabilities associated with the transfer of functions from departments to local government will also need to be transferred to the 11 new councils. The Department proposes that a scheme in relation to such a transfer would create, for the department concerned, interests in or rights over assets transferring, to ensure that assets are used for the purpose for which they were intended, following transfer. It would also address issues such as liabilities. Arrangements would be made to enable the transfer, for example, of property that a council or department would not otherwise legally be entitled to transfer, such as property purchased or
donated with clauses (e.g. buyback) limiting the ability for this property to be passed on through sale or transfer.

10.11. The Department also proposes that there should be a mechanism whereby interests in, or rights over, property could be clarified. This would be undertaken through the issue of a certificate by the department concerned. The transfer of assets and liabilities would be effected by legislation and the issue of a certificate would only be required in the event of, for example, an ownership challenge.

Question 46:
Do you agree that transfer schemes in relation to property and assets of government departments transferring to the new councils should provide for a continuing interest for the department concerned?

Financial Arrangements

10.12. Current legislation applicable to local government provides that councils may only incur expenditure for carrying out functions for which they have responsibility. Prior to the reorganisation of local government, there may however be a requirement for existing councils to incur expenditure in relation to matters that would not fall into this category, for example, expenditure in relation to elections to their successor councils.

10.13. The Department therefore proposes that existing district councils should be able to incur expenditure on behalf of their relevant successor councils. For accounting purposes, it is proposed any
expenditure incurred in this way would be recoverable from the new councils once they are established.

**Question 47:**
Do you support the proposal that existing district councils should be able to incur expenditure on behalf of the new council to be established for that area?

**HUMAN RIGHTS**
11. The Department believes that the proposals are compatible with the Human Rights Act 1998.

**EQUALITY**
12. Under the terms of section 75 of the Northern Ireland Act 1998, the Department carried out screening for equality impact and is satisfied that the proposed legislation will not lead to discriminatory or negative differential impact on any of the section 75 groups. A copy of the screening form can be viewed on the Department’s website [http://www.doeni.gov.uk/index/information/equality_unit.htm](http://www.doeni.gov.uk/index/information/equality_unit.htm).

**REGULATORY IMPACT ASSESSMENT**
13. The Department has not conducted a regulatory impact assessment as the proposed legislation does not give rise to any associated costs or savings on business, charities, social economy enterprises or the voluntary sector.
RURAL PROOFING

14. The Department has assessed the proposed measures and considers that there would be no differential impact in rural areas or on rural communities.

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000 – CONFIDENTIALITY OF CONSULTATIONS

15. The Department may publish a summary of responses following completion of the consultation process. Your response, and all other responses to the consultation, may be disclosed on request. The Department can only refuse to disclose information in exceptional circumstances. Before you submit your response, please read Annex B on the confidentiality of consultations. It gives guidance on the legal position about any information given by you in response to this consultation.

ALTERNATIVE FORMAT

16. This document is available in alternative formats. Please contact us to discuss your requirements.

CONSULTATION

17. Comments should be sent by 11 March 2011 to Local Government Policy Division at the address below or by e-mail to LGPDConsultations@doeni.gov.uk.

18. If you have any queries in relation to the proposals, you should contact the following:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>E-mail</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Governance; and Partnership Panel | John Murphy  
Lynn McCracken  | john.murphy@doeni.gov.uk  
lynn.mccracken@doeni.gov.uk | 028 9025 6048  
028 9025 6074 |
| Ethical Standards           | Mylene Ferguson  
Phyllis Mulholland  
Julie Broadway | mylene.ferguson@doeni.gov.uk  
phyllis.mulholland@doeni.gov.uk  
julie.broadway@doeni.gov.uk | 028 9025 6086  
028 9025 6087  
028 9025 6094 |
| Service Delivery and Performance Improvement | John Murphy  
Lorcan O'Kane  
Damien Dean | john.murphy@doeni.gov.uk  
lorcan.o'kane@doeni.gov.uk  
damien.dean@doeni.gov.uk | 028 9025 6048  
028 9025 6045  
028 9025 6836 |
| Community Planning; and Power of Well-being | John Murphy  
Damian McKevitt | john.murphy@doeni.gov.uk  
damian.mckeivitt@doeni.gov.uk | 028 9025 6048  
028 9025 6050 |
| Reorganisation              | John Murphy  
Julie Broadway | john.murphy@doeni.gov.uk  
juille.broadway@doeni.gov.uk | 028 9025 6048  
028 9025 6094 |

19. This Consultation Document is being circulated to persons and bodies listed in Annex C and is also available to view at: http://www.doeni.gov.uk/index/local_government/.

Local Government Policy Division  
Goodwood House  
8th Floor  
44-58 May Street  
Belfast  
BT1 4NN  

Fax: 028 9025 6080  
Textphone: 028 9054 0642
## ANNEX A

### GLOSSARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Best value</strong></td>
<td>The establishment of a culture of good management for the delivery of efficient, effective and economical services that meets users needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cabinet-style model</strong></td>
<td>Executive responsibility for all operational decisions is devolved from the full council to a relatively small committee of councillors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Call-in</strong></td>
<td>A process to allow a specified number of councillors to request that:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- a decision taken but not yet implemented under devolved arrangements; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- a decision to be endorsed by the council through the ratification of minutes from a committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>be looked at again, under specified circumstances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central policy committee</strong></td>
<td>A central committee that will be responsible for developing the policies by which a council will operate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Checks and balances</strong></td>
<td>A system of principles of an organisation that ensures the correct operation of structures and that no one person or group has too much power or influence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Continuous improvement</strong></td>
<td>Ongoing effort to seek regular improvements in the delivery of these services over time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D’Hondt</strong></td>
<td>A formula developed by the Belgian mathematician D’Hondt which is used to ensure that positions of responsibility can be allocated relative to political parties electoral strengths.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-making structures</strong></td>
<td>The structures operating within an organisation to enable relevant participants to be in a position to make a decision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devolved decision-making</td>
<td>A decision-making principle where a specified committee or officer makes the final decision on issues within their remit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Droop Quota method</td>
<td>A formula for allocating positions, taking into account the electoral strengths of political parties. Similar to quota greatest remainder but with a greater divisor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive responsibility</td>
<td>Having sole authority and responsibility for taking an action or making a decision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Term</td>
<td>The period of time (usually four years) a council regularly meets and carries out its formal duties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory cross-party committee</td>
<td>A committee which is required to have membership drawn from more that one political party elected to the organisation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportionality</td>
<td>The principle of recognising the relative electoral strengths of the political parties in the allocation of positions of responsibility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualified majority voting</td>
<td>A voting method that requires a specified minimum level of votes to be cast in favour of the proposal for it to be accepted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quota Greatest Remainder</td>
<td>A formula for allocating positions taking into account the electoral strengths of political parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Lague</td>
<td>A formula developed by the French mathematician Saint-Lague which has a similar purpose to D'Hondt but where the divisor is greater.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrutiny committee</td>
<td>A committee established under the principle of checks and balances to scrutinise the work of devolved decision-makers and make recommendations in relation to council policy review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streamlined</td>
<td>Executive responsibility for specific decisions is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>committee model</td>
<td>devolved from the full council to a central policy committee and a limited number of other committees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STV</td>
<td>Single transferable vote where a voter has a single vote but may express a preference for the person to whom that vote should be transferred if his/her first preference has more votes than is required to be elected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional committee structure</td>
<td>Individual committees are established to consider specific issues and return recommendations on appropriate courses of action, for decision by the full council (unless authorised by full council to make that decision).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. The Department may publish a summary of responses following completion of the consultation process. Your response, and all other responses to the consultation, may be disclosed on request. The Department can only refuse to disclose information in exceptional circumstances. Before you submit your response, please read the paragraphs below on the confidentiality of consultations and they will give you guidance on the legal position about any information given by you in response to this consultation.

2. The Freedom of Information Act gives the public a right of access to any information held by a public authority, namely, the Department in this case. This right of access to information includes information provided in response to a consultation. The Department cannot automatically consider as confidential information supplied to it in response to a consultation. However, it does have the responsibility to decide whether any information provided by you in response to this consultation, including information about your identity, should be made public or be treated as confidential.

3. This means that information provided by you in response to the consultation is unlikely to be treated as confidential, except in very particular circumstances. The Lord Chancellor’s Code of Practice on the Freedom of Information Act provides that:
   - the Department should only accept information from third parties in confidence if it is necessary to obtain that information in
connection with the exercise of any of the Department’s functions and it would not otherwise be provided;

- the Department should not agree to hold information received from third parties “in confidence” which is not confidential in nature; and

- acceptance by the Department of confidentiality provisions must be for good reasons, capable of being justified to the Information Commissioner.

4. For further information about confidentiality of responses please contact the Information Commissioner’s Office (or see website at: http://www.informationcommissioner.gov.uk ).
ANNEX C

LIST OF CONSULTEES

This is not an exhaustive list but it is indicative of the organisations to whom the document has been issued

All Northern Ireland District Councils
arc21
Association for Public Service Excellence
Association of Local Government Finance Officers
Belfast Solicitors Association
Chief Local Government Auditor
Civil Law Reform Division
Community Relations Council
Confederation of British Industry
Courts and Tribunal Service
Equality Commission for NI
Federation of Small Businesses
Food Standards Agency
HM Council of County Court Judges
HM Revenue & Customs
Human Rights Commission
Judge McKibbin District Judge (Magistrates Court)
Law Centre (NI)
Local Government Staff Commission for Northern Ireland
MEPs
Ministry of Defence
MLAs
MPs
National Association of Councillors
NI Assembly, Committee for the Environment
NI Association of Citizens Advice Bureau